REVIEW

on the article

"IT Technologies for Automating Business Processes in Logistics: A Case Study of Omni Dispatch"

The article addresses a pertinent problem in contemporary logistics: how digitalisation and IT integration can reduce operating costs, shorten billing and confirmation cycles, and improve transparency across the supply chain. The focus on dispatch automation, electronic documentation, and real-time tracking is well chosen. These domains concentrate a large share of avoidable frictions in transport operations and thus offer visible, measurable efficiency gains. The study's core claim is clear. When designed with execution in mind, lightweight, mobile-centric platforms can unlock material benefits for small and medium logistics providers without the overhead and latency of full-scale enterprise TMS deployments.

Originality resides in the juxtaposition of three strata of digital solutions and in the micro-operational lens applied to documentation and field execution. The comparison between a comprehensive transport management platform (LEAD TMS), a high-performance routing engine (VeeRoute), and a lean execution tool (Omni Dispatch) is not a catalogue of functions. It is a structured mapping of distinct logics of digital transformation. One logic privileges end-to-end planning, resource allocation, and KPI control. Another specialises in algorithmic optimisation at scale. The third prioritises rapid deployment, electronic proofs, and a short feedback loop between drivers, dispatchers, and the back office. This framing is useful for decision-makers because it surfaces complementarities rather than forcing a monolithic choice.

Methodologically, the article combines a system perspective with comparative assessment and case studies. That mix is appropriate. The system view positions digital logistics as an interplay of TMS, IoT telemetry, data

analytics, and, increasingly, AI-enabled modules. The comparative analysis sets expectations about trade-offs between functional breadth, implementation complexity, and time-to-value. The two implementation cases – Hugo Hunter Inc and Alpha Express LLC – anchor the claims in practice. They illustrate how digitised tickets, mobile BOL/POD capture, and continuous geolocation reduce errors, compress billing cycles, and raise dispatcher throughput without additional headcount. The conceptual decomposition of total logistics cost and of time lags in the "trip → confirmation → invoice" chain strengthens the argument and improves transferability to other settings.

The strongest sections are those that keep a strict separation between planning, execution, and control and that place specific technologies onto these layers. The article rightly presents LEAD TMS as an all-round platform for transport planning and fleet management with dashboards and alerts; VeeRoute as an optimisation "brain" for complex multi-stop routes; and Omni Dispatch as a thin execution layer that closes the data gap at the edge of operations. This three-tier logic helps avoid reductive claims that a single platform can efficiently solve fundamentally different problems. The suggestion to combine layers in modular stacks reflects current industry practice and lends the text applied relevance.

Practical significance is evident. The article shows that digitising documentation flows and field data reduces rekeying, misplacement, and reconciliation effort. Faster and more reliable transmission of BOL/POD details enables prompt invoicing, fewer disputes, and clearer audit trails.

Real-time location signals improve coordination and reduce avoidable delays. Offline capability is a pragmatic inclusion given the patchy connectivity facing many fleets. For SMEs the investment is modest and the pay-off rapid. For larger operators the proposed pattern – retain the TMS as the planning and governance core and overlay an execution-focused mobile layer – offers a realistic upgrade path that does not require a disruptive re-platforming.

The forward-looking discussion is aligned with Logistics 4.0 trajectories. Light-weight AI modules can support adaptive scheduling, exception handling, and demand forecasting without replicating the complexity of enterprise optimisation engines. Predictive maintenance, built on vehicle diagnostics and trip history, can reduce unplanned downtime and smooth capacity planning. Integration with smart-warehouse systems would close further gaps at the yard–dispatch interface, improving slot adherence and handover quality. These prospects are plausible and sit well with the article's execution-first stance.

There are, however, limitations that merit acknowledgement before publication. Evidence rests on two cases, so software effects are hard to disentangle from seasonality, freight mix, or tariff shifts; a simple before–and–after panel with controls would help. Security and compliance are sketched only briefly; a short note on encryption, access governance, data minimisation, and audit logging is needed. Costs are treated qualitatively; a compact TCO (licences/hosting, ERP/WMS integration, devices, training, transition risks) and basic sensitivity by fleet size and drop density would aid managers. The comparison would benefit from a small controlled benchmark on a shared dataset with standardised metrics.

The article is timely, well-structured, and grounded in current practice. It frames choices in a way that is actionable for managers and testable for scholars. I recommend it for publication. Before press, the author could briefly expand the limitations section, add standardised quantitative metrics for the comparison, and outline security and compliance controls. These additions would further strengthen the argument and ease replication across varied operational contexts.

by Oleksii Birchak