

Економічні науки

UDC 658.012.1:659.127.8

**Cherniavska Inna**

*Senior Lecturer of the*

*Department of Management, Business and Professional Communications*

*V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University*

**Чернявська Інна Сергіївна**

*старший викладач кафедри менеджменту,*

*бізнесу та професійних комунікацій*

*Харківський національний університет імені В.Н. Каразіна*

*ORCID: 0000-0001-6491-474X*

**Lan Linkai**

*Student of the*

*Department of Management, Business and Professional Communications*

*V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University*

**Лан Лінкай**

*студент кафедри менеджменту,*

*бізнесу та професійних комунікацій*

*Харківського національного університету імені В.Н. Каразіна*

**THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF BUSINESS REPUTATION  
MANAGEMENT  
ТЕОРЕТИЧНІ АСПЕКТИ УПРАВЛІННЯ ДІЛОВОЮ РЕПУТАЦІЄЮ  
КОМПАНІЇ**

**Summary.** *Introduction. The research revealed that business reputation is a critical intangible asset that significantly influences a company's competitiveness and sustainability in the modern market environment. Despite*

growing attention from scholars and practitioners, the theoretical framework of business reputation management remains underdeveloped.

*Purpose.* The article aims to analyze and systematize theoretical foundations of business reputation management, identify key components and mechanisms of reputation formation, and explore its impact on corporate performance.

*Materials and methods.* The research is based on comprehensive literature review and conceptual analysis of existing scientific publications, including management theory. Comparative analysis and synthesis methods were applied to highlight the main theoretical approaches.

*Results.* Business reputation is a critical intangible asset that significantly affects organizational performance, stakeholder trust, and strategic positioning. Its formation is a dynamic, multistage process grounded in both cognitive assessments and emotional responses by various stakeholder groups. The findings highlight the need for an integrated approach that aligns corporate identity, consistent behavior, and stakeholder communication across all touchpoints.

*Prospects for further research.* Future research should explore reputation management practices in various industries. Enhancing theoretical understanding will support companies in developing robust reputation strategies, especially in times of crisis. Particular attention should be given to reputation dynamics in digital environments, the role of leadership in reputation recovery, and the development of quantitative metrics to assess and manage reputational capital in real time.

**Key words:** business reputation, reputation management, intangible assets.

**Анотація.** Вступ. Ділова репутація є важливим нематеріальним активом, який суттєво впливає на конкурентоспроможність і стійкість

компанії в сучасному ринковому середовищі. Незважаючи на зростаючу увагу з боку науковців і практиків, теоретична база управління діловою репутацією залишається недостатньо розробленою.

*Мета.* Стаття має на меті проаналізувати й систематизувати теоретичні засади управління діловою репутацією, визначити ключові компоненти та механізми формування репутації, а також дослідити її вплив на ефективність діяльності компаній.

*Матеріали і методи.* Дослідження базується на комплексному огляді літератури та концептуальному аналізі наукових публікацій у сфері теорії управління. Застосовано методи порівняльного аналізу та синтезу для виявлення основних теоретичних підходів.

*Результати.* Дослідження виявило, що ділова репутація є важливим нематеріальним активом, який суттєво впливає на ефективність діяльності організації, довіру зацікавлених сторін та стратегічне позиціонування. Її формування — це динамічний багатоступеневий процес, що базується як на когнітивних оцінках, так і на емоційних реакціях різних груп стейкхолдерів. Результати дослідження підкреслюють необхідність інтегрованого підходу, який узгоджує корпоративну ідентичність, послідовну поведінку та комунікацію зі стейкхолдерами на всіх рівнях взаємодії.

*Перспективи.* У майбутніх дослідженнях рекомендується дослідити практики управління репутацією в різних галузях. Поглиблення теоретичного розуміння сприятиме розробці компаніями надійних стратегій управління репутацією, особливо в кризові періоди. Особливу увагу варто приділити динаміці репутації в цифровому середовищі, ролі лідерства у відновленні репутації, а також розробці кількісних показників для оцінки та управління репутаційним капіталом у режимі реального часу.

**Ключові слова:** ділова репутація, управління репутацією, нематеріальні активи.

**Problem Statement.** Business reputation is a crucial intangible asset that affects a company’s competitiveness and long-term sustainability. Its importance has increased due to rapid information dissemination via digital platforms, higher stakeholder demands for transparency, and growing consumer awareness of ethical practices. Reputation reflects collective stakeholder perceptions of an organization’s ability to meet expectations, impacting financial results, customer loyalty, talent attraction, and crisis resilience. Despite its significance, there is a lack of comprehensive theoretical models for reputation management, highlighting the need for systematic analysis of its components, formation, and effects on corporate performance.

**Analysis of studies and publications.** Numerous scholars have contributed to the study of business reputation, approaching it from different perspectives including marketing, strategic management, and corporate social responsibility.

M. Gotsi and A. M. Wilson define corporate reputation as the collective evaluation by stakeholders, shaped not only by direct organizational interactions but also by mediated information and comparisons with competitors. Their definition underscores the temporal and comparative nature of reputation, distinguishing it from static concepts like corporate image or identity [11]. This temporal component is central; unlike image, which is shaped by immediate impressions, or identity, which is internally defined, reputation evolves through sustained organizational behavior observed and interpreted by external constituencies.

J. M. T. Balmer distinguishes reputation as externally focused, historically rooted, and compared to industry peers, while corporate identity is internally defined and image reflects momentary perception [3]. P. A. Argenti and B.

Druckenmiller view reputation as the combined perceptions of stakeholders shaped by identity, performance, and behavior [2]. Reputation forms through consistent, credible actions over time, not just external communication, serving as a framework for stakeholders to interpret corporate signals and guide expectations [5]. L. Gaines-Ross highlights reputation as a strategic asset that builds stakeholder confidence, reduces risk, and fosters loyalty, especially in uncertain contexts [10].

**Purpose.** The article aims to analyze and systematize theoretical foundations of business reputation management, identify key components and mechanisms of reputation formation, and explore its impact on corporate performance.

**Materials and methods.** The research is based on comprehensive literature review and conceptual analysis of existing scientific publications, including management theory. Comparative analysis and synthesis methods were applied to highlight the main theoretical approaches.

**Main material.** Business reputation is not an incidental byproduct of corporate communication but a strategically cultivated, historically grounded, and multidimensional construct. It requires alignment between internal identity, external messaging, and actual behavior to build and sustain credibility. Reputation is both earned through consistent stakeholder engagement and leveraged as a source of strategic advantage, enabling organizations to navigate competitive landscapes, mitigate risk, and attract long-term support.

Business reputation is a complex construct shaped by interrelated components affecting stakeholder perceptions and organizational success. Fombrun, C. J., & Van Riel, C. B. M. identify six pillars: emotional appeal, product/service quality, financial performance, leadership, workplace environment, and social responsibility [9]. Dowling G.R. highlights four core elements—credibility, reliability, responsibility, and attractiveness—grounded in trust and ethics [5]. Balmer’s corporate character focuses on consistent traits

like competence and agreeableness that stabilize stakeholder views [3; 11]. Performance areas and relationship quality, including transparency and fairness, vary by stakeholder priorities and are crucial for maintaining reputation resilience during crises [7; 4; 6; 8].

Business reputation forms through a dynamic, cumulative process involving increasing stakeholder engagement and stability. It develops over time as stakeholders evaluate an organization’s behavior, performance, and values. Gray and Balmer identify four stages: awareness, familiarity, favorability, and advocacy, each requiring targeted organizational efforts from visibility to relationship building [12].

As the process advances, the organization’s reputation becomes more entrenched and less susceptible to sudden shifts. Haywood’s framework similarly emphasizes a movement from basic recognition toward credibility and endorsement, underscoring the growing importance of stakeholder trust and strategic alignment throughout the formation process [13]. In early phases, reputations are highly fragile, often shaped by minimal or superficial information, while more mature reputations benefit from cumulative stakeholder experience and are more resistant to negative influence.

Reputation formation is further shaped by the integration of information from diverse sources, including direct interactions, mediated communication, and third-party commentary. Abimbola T., Vallaster C., and Ambrosini A. categorize these as controlled (organizational messaging), uncontrolled (external media or stakeholder reviews), and experiential (stakeholder encounters), highlighting the need for coherence across channels to maintain credibility and authenticity [1]. Notably, reputations formed during early stages are more vulnerable to the disproportionate impact of negative information, particularly in digital contexts, where rapid dissemination can amplify stakeholder reactions and volatility.

Thus, strategic reputation management requires recognizing the distinct features of each formation stage and aligning organizational behavior accordingly to build a reputation that is not only favorable but also enduring.

Business reputation is a vital intangible asset that strongly impacts organizational performance financially, operationally, and strategically. In today's transparent and competitive global market, reputation shapes stakeholder trust, investment appeal, and resilience. It reflects perceptions of reliability, integrity, and social responsibility. Empirical evidence shows that firms with strong reputational capital achieve higher profitability, lower capital costs, reduced customer churn, and premium pricing, sustaining competitive advantage. For example, top-ranked companies in reputation enjoy greater investor confidence and valuation stability during market fluctuations.

Reputation enhances operational efficiency by improving talent attraction, reducing turnover, and fostering better supplier relationships through preferential terms. Strong reputations also boost customer loyalty and tolerance during crises via a "reputation halo effect" that mitigates negative responses. Strategically, reputation serves as a crucial differentiator in commoditized markets, offering sustainable competitive advantage beyond product features. During crises, a solid reputation helps secure stakeholder support, positive media attention, and quicker recovery, strengthening organizational resilience.

Therefore, business reputation is not merely a reflection of past performance but a forward-looking asset that shapes stakeholder behavior, mitigates risks, and sustains organizational success. Its significance extends across all functional areas and stakeholder categories, necessitating deliberate and continuous reputation management embedded within broader strategic frameworks.

**Conclusions and prospects for further research.** Business reputation is a critical intangible asset that significantly affects organizational performance, stakeholder trust, and strategic positioning. Its formation is a dynamic,



multistage process grounded in both cognitive assessments and emotional responses by various stakeholder groups. The findings highlight the need for an integrated approach that aligns corporate identity, consistent behavior, and stakeholder communication across all touchpoints.

Future research should explore reputation management practices in various industries. Enhancing theoretical understanding will support companies in developing robust reputation strategies, especially in times of crisis. Particular attention should be given to reputation dynamics in digital environments, the role of leadership in reputation recovery, and the development of quantitative metrics to assess and manage reputational capital in real time.

### **References**

1. Abimbola, T., Vallaster, C., & Ambrosini, A. Brand, Organizational Identity and Reputation in SMEs: An Overview. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*. 2010. №13(4). C. 340–360.
2. Argenti, P. A., & Druckenmiller, B. Reputation and the Corporate Brand. *Corporate Reputation Review*. 2004. №6(4). C. 368–374.
3. Balmer, J. M. T. Corporate Identity, Corporate Branding and Corporate Marketing: Seeing through the Fog. *European Journal of Marketing*. 2001. №35(3/4). C. 248–291.
4. Coombs W. Protecting organization reputations during a crisis: The development and application of situational crisis communication theory. *Corporate Reputation Review*. 2007. Vol. 10. P. 163–176.
5. Dowling, G. R. *Creating Corporate Reputations: Identity, Image and Performance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001. 272 c.
6. Dutot V., Castellano S. Designing a measurement scale for e-reputation. *Corporate Reputation Review*. 2015. Vol. 18, No. 4. P. 294–313.



7. Feldman P. M., Bahamonde R. A., Bellido I. V. A new approach for measuring corporate reputation. RAE Revista de Administracao de Empresas. 2014. Vol. 54, No. 1. P. 53–66.
8. Fernández-Gámez M. A., Gil-Corral A. M., Galan-Valdivieso F. Corporate reputation and market value: Evidence with generalized regression neural networks. Expert Systems with Applications. 2016. Vol. 46. P. 69–76.
9. Fombrun, C. J., & van Riel, C. B. M. Fame & Fortune: How Successful Companies Build Winning Reputations. Pearson Education, 2004. 304 c.
10. Gaines-Ross, L. Corporate Reputation: 12 Steps to Safeguarding and Recovering Reputation. Wiley, 2008. 256 c.
11. Gotsi, M., & Wilson, A. M. Corporate Reputation: Seeking a Definition. Corporate Communications: An International Journal. 2001. №6(1). C. 24–30.
12. Gray, E. R., & Balmer, J. M. T. Managing Corporate Image and Corporate Reputation. Long Range Planning. 1998. №31(5). C. 695–702.
13. Haywood, R. Managing Corporate Reputation: Strategies for Sustained Competitive Advantage. London: Kogan Page, 2002. 256 c.

### References

1. Abimbola, T., Vallaster, C. and Ambrosini, A. (2010) 'Brand, Organizational Identity and Reputation in SMEs: An Overview', *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 13(4), pp. 340–360.
2. Argenti, P.A. and Druckenmiller, B. (2004) 'Reputation and the Corporate Brand', *Corporate Reputation Review*, 6(4), pp. 368–374.
3. Balmer, J.M.T. (2001) 'Corporate Identity, Corporate Branding and Corporate Marketing: Seeing through the Fog', *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(3/4), pp. 248–291.

4. Coombs, W. T. (2007). Protecting Organization Reputations During a Crisis: The Development and Application of Situational Crisis Communication Theory. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 10(3), 163–176.
5. Dowling, G.R. (2001) *Creating Corporate Reputations: Identity, Image and Performance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Dutot, V. & Castellano, S., 2015. Designing a measurement scale for e-reputation. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 18(4), pp.294–313.
7. Feldman, P.M., Bahamonde, R.A. & Bellido, I.V., 2014. A new approach for measuring corporate reputation. *RAE Revista de Administracao de Empresas*, 54(1), pp.53–66.
8. Fernández-Gámez, M.A., Gil-Corral, A.M. & Galan-Valdivieso, F., 2016. Corporate reputation and market value: Evidence with generalized regression neural networks. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 46, pp.69–76.
9. Fombrun, C. J., & van Riel, C. B. M. (2004). *Fame and Fortune: How Successful Companies Build Winning Reputations*. Pearson Education.
10. Gaines-Ross, L. (2008) *Corporate Reputation: 12 Steps to Safeguarding and Recovering Reputation*. Wiley.
11. Gotsi, M. and Wilson, A.M. (2001) 'Corporate Reputation: Seeking a Definition', *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 6(1), pp. 24–30.
12. Gray, E.R. and Balmer, J.M.T. (1998) 'Managing Corporate Image and Corporate Reputation', *Long Range Planning*, 31(5), pp. 695–702.
13. Haywood, R. (2002) *Managing Corporate Reputation: Strategies for Sustained Competitive Advantage*. London: Kogan Page.